

February 1, 2017

The Honorable Todd Rokita Chairman, Subcommittee on Early Childhood, Elementary, and Secondary Education Education and Workforce Committee U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515 The Honorable Jared Polis
Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Early
Childhood, Elementary, and Secondary
Education
Education and Workforce Committee
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Re: NCPE Opposes Private School Vouchers

Dear Chairman Rokita and Ranking Member Polis:

The 50 undersigned organizations submit this letter for the hearing "Helping Students Succeed through the Power of School Choice" to express our strong opposition to private school vouchers. Vouchers divert desperately-needed resources away from the public school system to fund the education of a few, select students, with limited, if any, real impact on student academic achievement. Instead of providing equal access to high quality education or setting high standards for accountability, voucher programs have proven ineffective, lack accountability to taxpayers, and deprive students of rights provided to public school students. Congress would better serve *all* children by using funds to make public schools stronger and safer than by creating a new voucher program.

Although promoted as "school choice," private school vouchers do not provide real choice for students and parents. The "choice" in voucher programs actually lies with private schools, which may turn students away for a variety of reasons. In contrast, public schools are open to all.

Students with disabilities are particularly underserved by voucher programs. Private voucher schools do not adequately serve students with disabilities, often denying them admission or subjecting them to inappropriate or excessive suspensions or expulsions. They also generally do not provide them the same quality and quantity of services available to students in public schools, including those mandated under each student's individualized education plan (IEP). For example, in D.C., a significant number of students who received a voucher had to reject their vouchers because they were unable to find a participating school that offered services for their learning or physical disability or other special needs.¹

¹ U.S. Dep't of Educ,, <u>Evaluation of the D.C. Opportunity Scholarship Program: Final Report</u>, 24-26 (June 2010) (The report found that 21.6% of parents who rejected a voucher that was offered to their child did so because the school lacked the special services that their child needed, and, 12.3% of the parents who accepted a voucher for their child but then left the program cited a lack of special needs services at the school they had chosen.).



Vouchers also fail to improve academic opportunities. Recent studies of both the Louisiana² and Ohio³ voucher programs revealed that students who used vouchers actually performed worse on standardized tests than their peers who are not in the voucher programs. Multiple studies of the D.C.,⁴ Milwaukee,⁵ and Cleveland⁶ school voucher programs revealed similar findings: students offered vouchers do not perform better in reading and math than students in public schools. In fact, the Department of Education studies of the D.C. voucher program show that students participating in the program are actually *less* likely to have access to ESL programs, learning support and special needs programs, tutors, counselors, cafeterias, and nurse's offices than students not in the program.

Moreover, voucher programs offer little accountability to taxpayers. Private school voucher programs usually do not require participating private schools to comply with the same teacher standards, curriculum, reporting, and testing requirements as public schools. And, private schools that receive voucher students do not adhere to all federal civil rights laws including those in Title IX, the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), and ESSA, religious freedom protections provided under the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, and public accountability standards that all public schools must meet.

Finally, vouchers violate religious liberty by funding primarily religious schools.⁷ One of the most dearly held principles of religious liberty is that government should not compel any citizen to furnish funds in support of a religion with which he or she disagrees, or even a religion with which he or she *does agree*. Voucher programs, however, violate that central tenet: they use taxpayer money to fund primarily religious education. Parents certainly may choose such an education for their children, but no taxpayer should be required to pay for another's religious education.

For these reasons and more, we oppose private school vouchers. Congress should ensure that public dollars remain invested in public schools for the benefit of all students.

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns.

Sincerely,

See, e.g., U.S. Dep't of Educ., <u>Evaluation of the D.C. Opportunity Scholarship Program: Final Report</u>, 17-18 (June 2010) (finding that approximately 80% of the students participating in the D.C. voucher program attend religious schools).



The **National Coalition for Public Education** comprises more than 50 education, civic, civil rights, and religious organizations devoted to the support of public schools. Founded in 1978, NCPE opposes the funnelling of public money to private and religious schools through such mechanisms as tuition tax credits and vouchers.

² Morgan Winsor, <u>Louisiana's Controversial Voucher Program Harms Poor Students, Lowers Grades, New Study Finds.</u> Int'l Bus. Times (Jan. 10, 2016).

³David Figlio & Krzysztof Karbownik, Fordham Institute, <u>Evaluation of Ohio's EdChoice Scholarship Program: Selection, Competition, and Performance Effects</u> 32 (July 2016).

⁴E.g., U.S. Dep't of Educ., Evaluation of the D.C. Scholarship Program: Final Report (June 2010) (Although the 2009 study showed a marginal gain for some students in reading (but notably, not for the program's targeted group, students from schools in need of improvement), the 2010 Final Report said "[t]here is no conclusive evidence that the [program] affected student achievement" and earlier findings of modest gains "could be due to chance" and were no longer statistically significant.).

⁵E.g., Patrick J. Wolf, School Choice Demonstration Project, Univ. of Ark., <u>The Comprehensive Longitudinal Evaluation of the Milwaukee Parental Choice Program: Summary of Final Reports</u> (Apr. 2010). (Overall, there are no significant achievement gains of voucher students compared to public school students. "When similar MPCP and MPS students are matched and tracked over four years, the achievement growth of MPCP students compared to MPS students is higher in reading but similar in math. The MPCP achievement advantage in reading is only conclusive in 2010-11, the year a high-stakes testing policy was added to the MPCP.")

⁶ E.g., Jonathan Plucker et al., Center for Evaluation & Education Policy, Univ. of Ind., Evaluation of the Cleveland Scholarship and Tutoring Program, Technical Report 1998-2004 166 (Feb. 2006).

AASA: The School Superintendents Association

African American Ministers In Action

American Association of University Women (AAUW)

American Atheists

American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU)

American Federation of Labor-Congress of industrial Organizations (AFL-CIO)

American Federation of School Administrators

American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees (AFSCME)

American Federation of Teachers, AFL-CIO

American Humanist Association

Americans for Democratic Action (ADA)

Americans for Religious Liberty

Americans United for Separation of Church and State

Anti-Defamation League

Association of Education Service Agencies

Association of School Business Officials International (ASBO)

Association of University Centers on Disabilities

Baptist Joint Committee for Religious Liberty

Center for Inquiry

Clearinghouse on Women's Issues

Council of Administrators of Special Education

Council for Exceptional Children

Council of the Great City Schools

Disability Rights Education & Defense Fund

Disciples Justice Action Network

Equal Partners in Faith

Freedom From Religion Foundation

Institute for Science and Human Values

Interfaith Alliance

League of United Latin American Citizens

National Alliance of Black School Educators

NAACP

National Association of Elementary School Principals

National Association of Federally Impacted Schools

National Association of Secondary School Principals

National Association of State Directors of Special Education

National Black Justice Coalition

National Center for Learning Disabilities

National Council of Jewish Women

National Disability Rights Network

National Education Association

National Organization for Women

National PTA

National Rural Education Advocacy Collaborative



The **National Coalition for Public Education** comprises more than 50 education, civic, civil rights, and religious organizations devoted to the support of public schools. Founded in 1978, NCPE opposes the funnelling of public money to private and religious schools through such mechanisms as tuition tax credits and vouchers.

National Rural Education Association People For the American Way School Social Work Association of America Secular Coalition for America Texas Freedom Network Union for Reform Judaism

